

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Faren, and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bo. 1410
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,694	10/31/2001	Richard L. Schertz	10017330-1	4657
7590 10/06/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			SON, LINH L D	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
/					
Office Action Summary	10/002,694	SCHERTZ ET AL.			
"	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Linh LD Son	2135			
Period for Reply		·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	AICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	-			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement				
-					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		o by the Evenine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	en received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur		ak an anti-and			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intervious	v Summary (PTO-413)			
 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Clied (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice o 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
1 apol 110(0)/111an bato		 '			

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responding to the amendment filed on 06/27/05.

2. Claims 1-23 are pending. None of the claims has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crosbie et al, US Publication No. 2002/0083343A1, hereinafter "Crosbie", in view of Sherlock et al, US/2002/0093527, hereinafter "Sherlock".
- 5. As per claims 1, 9, and 16, Crosbie teaches "A method of presenting data related to an intrusion event on a computer system (Para 0003), comprising: capturing data related to the intrusion event (Para 0007); decoding the captured data from a predetermined format (IDDS Kernel Drive, Fig. 3) to a predetermined format decipherable by humans (ASCII format, Para 0168-171). Further, Crosbie discloses that the decoded data in turn comprises of intrusion event data in (Para 162). However, Crosbie does not specifically disclose "the decoded data in turn comprises data summary, and detailed data; and presenting the decoded data to a user in an

Art Unit: 2135

organized manner. Nevertheless, Sherlock discloses the "User Interface for a Security Policy system and Method" invention, which teaches a method of capturing the network data information and get processed by the monitor policy engine to output the user in an organized way (Para 0283, Table M, 0284, Figure 9, and Figure 22-32).

Page 3

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Crosbie's invention to include the report feature of Sherlock to provide a presentable and understandable data captured.

- 6. As per claim 2, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claim 1, wherein capturing data comprises capturing network data packets of the intrusion event" in (Para 0162).
- 7. As per claims 3, 11, and 18, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein decoding the captured data comprises decoding the captured data from a binary format to a human-readable text format" in (Para 162, and 169).
- 8. As per claims 4, 12, and 19, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein decoding the captured data comprises decoding the captured data to decoded data having a data link layer protocol header, a network layer protocol header, a network layer protocol data summary, and packet data in

Art Unit: 2135

hexadecimal format" in (Sherlock, Para 0045, and 0417-418).

- 9. As per claims 5, 13, and 20, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein decoding the captured data comprises decoding the captured data to decoded data having an Ethernet header, an IP header, an IP data summary, and packet data in hexadecimal format" (Sherlock, Para 045, and 0417-418).
- 10. As per claims 6 and 21, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 1 and 16, wherein presenting the decoded data comprises displaying the decoded data on a computer screen" in (Sherlock, Para 0491-0507, Fig 22-32).
- 11. As per claims 7, 14, and 22, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 1, 9, and 16, wherein presenting the decoded data comprises graphically displaying the decoded data according to a predetermined report organization and format" in (Sherlock, Para 0491-0507, Fig 22-32).
- 12. As per claims 8,15, and 23, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 1 and 16, wherein presenting the decoded data comprises generating a report having the decoded data" in (Sherlock, Para 0491-0507, Fig 22-32).

Art Unit: 2135

13. As per claims 10 and 17, Crosbie and Sherlock teach "The method, as set forth in claims 9 and 16, wherein capturing data comprises capturing network data packets of the intrusion event in response to detecting the presence of a predetermined signature in the network data packet" in (Sherlock, Table 0, MD5 Hash of policy file).

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's argument, see Amendment, filed 06/27/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Crosbie and Sherlock. See the rejection above.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh LD Son whose telephone number is 571-272-3856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6 (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2135

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Linh LD Son Examiner Art Unit 2135

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2160